

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/816,604	10/816,604 04/02/2004		Cem Hatipoglu	28679/05423	9818	
24024	7590	05/11/2006		EXAMINER		
		& GRISWOLD, LI	KRAMER, DEVON C			
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3683		

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/816,604	HATIPOGLU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Devon C. Kramer	3683		

	Devon C. Kramer	3003	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir	iffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		ILTINOT NEI ET WAST	·
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in	37 Of IX 41.37(a).	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see No	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered b DTE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, -	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompilant / anonamont	(i 102 02 1).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a lid sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(Is to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attact	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	.makiea.
13. Other:		DEVON PATENT	KRAMER. EXAMINER:
		Devon C Kramer	man -
		Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3683	75/9/06

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argue that Reinecke does not provide a brake valve 6 that is electrically actuated. Applicant presents an alternative arrangment how the valve of Reinecke can be actuated. Please note that col 3 lines 31-45 recite that the anti-spin control circuit actuates the valves. Further, this particular embodiement recites the benefits of electrical actuattion (COI 3 lines 45-50). Further please note that switch 30 is a manual switch.